

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,262	7775,262 02/01/2001		James L. McMenimen	P-9153.02	7232
27581	7590	12/23/2003		EXAM	INER
MEDTRONIC, INC.				JASMIN, LYNDA C	
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55432-5604	3627		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>i</i> 1		J					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	09/775,262	MCMENIMEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lynda Jasmin	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N	ovember 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the condition of the condition of the condition is in condition for allower and the condition is in condition for all the condition is in condition.	nce except for formal matters, pr						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv	tion No					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro	of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 st sentence of the specification of	(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment received on November 11, 2003 has been acknowledged.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 6 and 7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 and 8 of copending Application No. 09/775,281. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Application/Control Number: 09/775,262

Art Unit: 3627

they are not patentably distinct from each other because having a customized data set downloadable to the web-enabled information network via the programmer or having a wireless communication with the programmer achieved the same end result of being in data communication with manufacturing.

Page 3

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-5 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art made of record fails to explicitly suggest an implanted medical device having specific features including customized features with customized data sets deployed from a known source.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Examiner has withdrawn the rejection under 103(a) since the priority date of the instant application precedes the priority date of the prior art of record.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 09/775,262

Art Unit: 3627

0465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (8:00-5:30) alternate

Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1113.

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 3627